		of inting			
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORE	Fig. 1	PC	Т	
To:	<del>/\$3</del>	$\Delta$	PC	•	
MORRISON, Ian et al.	13	RECENTO			
MORRISON FORSTER INC.	10.3	gnas gas i	WRITTEN OP	INION	
P O Box 2004		·	WRITEN OF	INION	
Mount Edgecombe	¥ 24		(PCT Rule 6	i6)	
Durban, Kwa Zulu Natal	•				
AFRIQUE DU SUD					
		Data of mailing			
		Date of mailing (day month year)	05/08/2	004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE			
262913-PCT		within 1 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing			
International application No. International filing date					
PCT/ZA03/00170	12/11/2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or					
, ,	A61M15/02				
Applicant			·		<u>.</u> .
SPEIGHT, Bryan Barker					
1. This written opinion is the first drawn	up by this International I	Proliminary Eventining	A b		
This opinion contains indications relation		_	Additionty.		
	ig to the following feelis.				
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelt	y, inventive step and in	dustrial applicabi	lity	
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V X Reasoned statement under citations and explanations	Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with rega supporting such statemer	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step or industri	al applicabil	ity;
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the inter	national application				
VIII Certain observations on th	e international application	n ·			
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply					
When? See the time limit indicated a to grant an extension, see Ru	ile 66.2(d).				Authority
How? By submitting a written reply For the form and the language	<ul> <li>accompanied, where ap ge of the amendments, se</li> </ul>	propriate, by amendme Rules 66.8 and 66.9.	nts, according to	Rule 66.3.	
Also For an additional opportunity					
For the examiner's obligation	ı to consider amendments	and/or arguments, see	Rule 66.4bis.		
For an informal communicat	ion with the examiner, se	e Ruie ob.o.			
If no reply is filed, the international pr	eliminary examination re	port will be established	on the basis of t	his opinion.	
4. The final date by which the internations	al preliminary				Dos
examination report must be established	according to Rule 69.2 is	15/03	/2005	Bisc	es ratentam <sub>r</sub>
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer		Ago,	nes Patentam,
Buropean Patent Office		Examiner			
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5236	56 epmu d	Formalities officer		brevets	
Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465		(incl. extension of tim Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2	e iimits) 828	* , •	n. o.
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2	2002)			394	Office eur

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/ZA03/00170

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the
  invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the
  criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve
  an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X
  and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.